IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

WEDNESDAY, THE 28TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 22512 of 2007(E)

PETITIONER:

KOCHUMON.B., PROPRIETOR,
PUTHUVEL ASSOCIATES, NH-47, NEAR K.S.R.T.C.,
KAYAMKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI.R.REJI
SMT.C.A.AJITHA SALAM

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **THE JOINT R.T.O.,**
   SUB R.T.OFFICE, KAYAMKULAM.

2. **THE MUNICIPAL SECRETARY,**
   MUNICIPAL OFFICE, KAYAMKULAM.

3. **THE SYSP,**
   KAYAMKULAM.

4. **THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,**
   KAYAMKULAM POLICE STATION.

5. **THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,**
   KAYAMKULAM POLICE STATION.

6. **THE SUB INSPECTOR,**
   TRAFFIC UNIT,
   KAYAMKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI.R.RAYA SHENOY for R2
SRI.R.PRASANTH KUMAR
BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. P.N.SANTHOSH for R1&R3toR6

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 28/11/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

KSS

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: **COPY OF THE LICENCE ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT FOR THE YEAR 2006-2007.**

EXT.P2: **COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION FILED BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT DTD. 31/05/2007.**

EXT.P3: **COPY OF THE RECEIPT ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT DTD. 16/05/2007.**

EXT.P4: **COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION FILED BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DTD. 16/05/2007.**

EXT.P5: **COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION FILED BEFORE THE 3RD RESPONDENT DTD. 31/05/207.**

EXT.P6: **COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION FILED BEFORE THE 6TH RESPONDENT DTD. 28/06/2007.**

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

KSS

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.

==========
W.P.(C) NO.22512 OF 2007 E
==========

Dated this the 28th day of November, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The request made by the petitioner in Exts.P2 to P8 representations is for shifting the auto parking from the front portion of his shop. The respondents have filed a counter affidavit, wherein it is stated that necessary instructions have already been given to the autorikshaw drivers not to create any hindrance to the smooth functioning of the petitioner's establishment and that this has been agreed by the auto drivers. It is stated that at present, there is no obstruction to the smooth ingress and egress for vehicles, which are coming for the emission test in the petitioner's establishment. If that be so, so long as there is no obstruction to the petitioner's shop, no further relief need be granted in this writ petition.

Writ petition is closed recording the statement in the counter.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE

Rp